

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | . ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,494 | 04/12/2004 | Hee Suk Kim | 1455-043831 | 3025 |
| 7590 02/02/2007 Kent E. Baldauf 700 Koppers Building | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PATTERSON, MARIE D | |
| 436 Seventh A Pittsburgh, PA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3728 | |
| | • | | | · |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

N

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/822,494 | KIM, HEE SUK | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Marie Patterson | 3728 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 January 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-11 and 13-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | , | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/05&7/19/04. Release and Tradement Office. | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/822,494

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 1/11/07 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 4-11 and 13-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/11/07.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 7/19/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/822,494

5. Claims 1-3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valuikas (4709921) or Kimura (4252315) in view of Immel (7053144).

Valuikas or Kimura shows an insole comprising a weighted lower insole layer (3 or 1 and 3) and an upper insole layer (2 or 5 and 6) and a shock absorbing member (4 or 5 and 6 on the bottom of 1 and 3) substantially as claimed except for the exact material for the weighted lower layer. Immel teaches the use of a molded compressed resin with a specific gravity of 1.4-3.4 (column 2 lines 55-67) for use in place of metals for a weighted material in footwear (column 9 line 33). It would have been obvious to use the material taught by Immel for the weighted lower layer in the insole of either Valuikas or Kimura to provide a more flexible, comfortable weighted insole.

6. Claims 1-3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Immel (7053144) in view of either Valuikas or Yoshiaki (2002/0050079).

Immel shows a weighted device comprising a lower molded compressed resin layer (822), an upper layer (826) and a bottom shock absorbing layer (824) substantially as claimed except for the device being shaped as an insole. Immel suggests the use of the weighted device in footwear (column 9 line 33). Either Valuikas or Yoshiaki teaches the use of weighted devices shaped as insoles. It would have been obvious to shape the device or Immel as an insole as taught by either Valuikas or Yoshiaki to allow the device to be easily used in footwear.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,494

Art Unit: 3728

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (572)273-8300 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

> Marie Patterson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3728

Page 4